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Introduction

The 2010 enactment of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) expanded access to and eligibility for health insurance for patients seen by Title X health centers. Recent data from the 2016 release of the Family Planning Annual Report (FPAR) indicate that a substantial number of insured patients are being seen in Title X health centers.¹ The FPAR reports that in 2010, 23% of Title X patients had public health insurance and 8% had private health insurance. By 2015, rates of health insurance coverage increased to 35% and 15%, respectively. This growth in the proportion of the patient population with health insurance presents Title X health centers with an opportunity to enhance their revenue streams through third-party payer reimbursement.

While the Title X confidentiality provisions ensure all patients have access to high-quality sexual and reproductive health services,² these same protections may present challenges for health centers during the insurance reimbursement process. Routine communications from insurers to policyholders such as explanation of benefits (EOBs) may result in a breach of patient privacy, especially if the patient is insured as a dependent under a parent’s, spouse’s, or partner’s plan. Consequently, for situations in which a patient indicates a need for payment that does not breach privacy, health centers may forgo seeking third-party reimbursement for services in order to maintain patient confidentiality.

Now that a growing number of patients seeking care at Title X health centers are insured, it is important to examine the magnitude of revenue loss related to requests for confidentiality. Therefore, Confidential & Covered produced a list of data elements that Title X health centers should collect and analyze to assess revenue loss from forgoing payment to maintain patient privacy. These data specifications were intended to be used by Title X health centers to generate reports from their electronic billing modules. However, after initial outreach to Title X health centers for piloting, the Confidential & Covered team discerned that most Title X health centers did not have the necessary data documented in a retrievable manner in their electronic health records (EHR) or patient management systems (PMS). Furthermore, many health centers were not implementing practices to screen for a patient’s need for payment that does not breach privacy.

To assist agencies in measuring lost revenue, a prototype of a Microsoft Excel data collection tool was developed based on the logic from the original data specifications. This tool allows health centers to calculate the revenue lost due to forgoing third-party reimbursement. The Confidential & Covered project team then convened a work group to test the tool, identify implementation protocols, and provide feedback. This report describes the logic and tool in detail, presents the findings of the pilot test, and the work group’s recommendations for the tool’s use.

The Revenue Loss Specifications and Excel Tool

In year one of the Confidential & Covered project, the team identified data specifications for health centers to collect and analyze in order to measure revenue loss due to forgoing third-party reimbursement for services delivered to patients requesting payment privacy. The specifications required health centers to be able to:

1. Identify visits for which patients requested payment that does not breach privacy.
3. Access the relevant claims information for those visits to examine all charges and payments.

Specifically, the logic for measuring revenue loss from forgoing third-party revenue due to patient concerns around payment privacy is below:

\[
\text{Number of visits that patients requested payment privacy} + \text{The fees for the services delivered from claims data} - \text{Payment for the services} = \text{Revenue lost: insurance payment less any payment made by the patient}
\]

- Number of visits that patients requested payment privacy
- The fees for the services delivered from claims data
- Payment for the services: • Contractual fee schedule with third-party payers • Payment by patient using sliding fee scale

To test the revenue loss report specifications using data from health centers, Confidential & Covered reached out to the NFPRHA membership to assess interest in participating in a pilot test. Confidential & Covered staff reviewed the revenue loss project, its purpose, and the data requirements for implementation with interested agencies. Unfortunately, while many health centers expressed interest in quantifying revenue loss due to requests for payment privacy, health centers reported that they could not extract the required data elements in their EHR or PMS. Because health centers were not documenting the visits and the corresponding services provided to patients requesting payment privacy in a retrievable manner, they were unable to submit the required data for the pilot test.

In response to the interest of agencies to quantify revenue loss and their inability to pull the required data from electronic systems, the Confidential & Covered project team developed a Microsoft Excel workbook, the Revenue Loss Tool, to measure the revenue loss associated with the inability to bill a third-party payer because of patient confidentiality concerns.
The Revenue Loss Tool was intended to provide a snapshot of revenue loss at a health center over a specific time frame. A limitation of the Revenue Loss Tool is that it does not necessarily capture revenue collected toward these services from a patient at future points in time. For example, health centers and patients may agree to a payment plan to cover the balance of fees for services. This revenue would not be incorporated into the Revenue Loss Tool.

After a health center enters visit-level data into the Revenue Loss Tool, the workbook autopopulates a summary table. This table generates the percentage of visits for which the health center did not collect insurance reimbursement to maintain patient privacy and the associated potential revenue loss. By calculating these figures, health centers are able to assess the financial impact of forgoing third-party reimbursement.

The tool is comprised of 3 Microsoft Excel worksheets:

1. **Total Site Visits Data**
   - This worksheet calculates the percentage of visits made by insured patients who requested payment privacy. It also generates the percentage of patients who requested payment privacy that could be billed because of agreements with a third-party payer to maintain privacy such as suppression or redirection of the EOB.

2. **Visit-Level Claims Data**
   - By collecting visit-level data on the services provided, this worksheet calculates revenue loss based on usual and customary fees as well as the revenue loss based on rates stipulated in contractual reimbursement schedules. The value of the unbillable services is based on full-fee charges. However, most third-party payers’ contracted fees do not match full-fee charges. Thus, lost revenue is calculated both ways to provide a more nuanced analysis of financial impact.

3. **Summary Outcome**
   - The final worksheet provides a summary of the visits during the report period that patients requested services not be billed to insurance due to confidentiality concerns and the value of those services provided.

An instruction guide provides details on the specific data elements needed for each worksheet. The guide includes a data dictionary to ensure consistency in data collection. Sample insurance and confidentiality screening questions are provided to assist health centers in the collection of the needed data. A link to the Revenue Loss Tool workbook and the instruction guide appear in the appendix of this report.

### The Work Group

The *Confidential & Covered* research team convened a work group of Title X providers to contribute to the development of tools and discuss processes needed to collect, document, and retrieve the required data. Members of this work group tested the Revenue Loss Tool at their health centers and provided feedback. Work group members also shared resources on and experiences with documenting and retrieving the data required for the Revenue Loss Tool. Additionally, they examined strategies to allow agencies to bill commercial and public insurances while maintaining privacy throughout the billing process.

The six organizations from the Title X network participated in the work group were:

1. Boulder Valley Women’s Health Center, Colorado
2. Maine Family Planning
3. Marion County Health Department, Oregon
4. Northern Indiana Maternal & Child Health Network
5. Northwest Wyoming Family Planning
6. Planned Parenthood Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota

Staff from these agencies attended 4 meetings between December 2015 and June 2016 in order to develop the organizational practices needed to implement the Revenue Loss Tool. Specifically, the members of the group worked to do the following at their respective health centers:

- Revise intake processes for additional data collection and update forms and workflows accordingly.
- Implement a process that allowed for a thorough screening for and documentation of the provision of confidential services and billing privacy.
- Update data collection fields and report specifications in the EHRs.
- Update policy and procedures related to confidentiality including data documentation and billing third-party payers.
- Design and deliver staff training on all new and/or revised procedures including the requirement to screen each patient for insurance use at each visit.
- Revise staff responsibilities to include data collection and the completion of tools.
- Pilot test the data collection methodology and submit data to the Confidential & Covered team for analysis.

**The Pilot Test**

Five pilot sites collected and submitted data on visits in which patients opted not to use their insurance due to confidentiality concerns. During the three-month pilot period, a total of 116 visits in which patients chose not to use their insurance were documented. Overall, 96% of patients requesting payment privacy were minors or young adults and 95% were female. A review of the ICD 10 codes for services provided during these visits revealed that 55% of the visits included sexually transmitted disease (STD) testing and 42% of the visits included contraceptive surveillance. Agencies also reported that 91% of patients requesting payment privacy during the pilot test were found to be under 100% of the federal poverty level. This finding indicates that in addition to forgoing insurance reimbursement, health centers are likely receiving no reimbursement from these patients either because the Title X sliding fee scale would place these patients at a full discount for services.

Variations in data collection methodology complicated the final analyses as some health centers were able to document and extract data from their electronic systems while other health centers had to collect data manually. In addition, revenue loss was difficult to compare across health centers due to differences in fee schedules and insurance reimbursement rates. As a result, there is not necessarily comparable data on overall revenue loss across health centers. Regardless, work group members reported that the Revenue Loss Tool was a useful in quantifying revenue loss internally.
Recommendations from the Work Group

Despite the limitations noted previously, the work group claimed that the tool provided valuable information that allowed them to:

- Understand the types of and potential reimbursement rates for services provided during visits in which the patient requested payment that did not breach privacy.
- Identify the effectiveness of staff training and develop improvement plans to increase the screening for and documentation of visits in which patients request payment that does not breach privacy.
- Present quantifiable data to third-party payers detailing the services being provided to their members that are not reimbursed in order to negotiate policies for EOB suppression or redirection.
- Present quantifiable data to third-party payers detailing services and visits provided to their members that might positively impact their HEDIS measures.
- Inform discussions about building capacity at the health center to split claims to maximize third-party reimbursement opportunities.

Although it was out of the initial scope of the project, work group members regularly returned to the importance of patient education and health insurance literacy in their discussions. Specifically, the work group considered strategies such as identifying patients with high deductible plans to whom staff could provide additional information and stocking patient areas in health centers with educational materials on insurance and insurance use. Because many health centers do not have the resources to staff an enrollment specialist onsite, the work group suggested that health center staff could still discuss benefits of insurance enrollment to patients and partner with the community enrollment specialists to ensure all patients have access to health insurance.

Conclusion

It is always important for health centers to measure and evaluate data on current practices, especially when practices may have financial implications. Understanding when and the extent to which health centers are unable to take advantage of a revenue stream, such as health insurance reimbursement, can inform policy and performance improvement efforts. Typically, health centers have not quantified the financial impact of patients opting not to use their health insurance due to concerns about confidentiality during the billing process. By using the Revenue Loss Tool, health centers will gain a more nuanced understanding of insurance use in their patient population. Health centers can use these data to strategically refine practices to decrease revenue loss and continue to ensure patient confidentiality throughout care delivery and payment processes. As the work group had indicated, some recommended examples for use of the data collected by the Revenue Loss Tool include identifying insurance plans with which to contract and supporting work with EHR/PMS vendors to build capacity to split claims.

Future iterations of the Revenue Loss Tool should improve upon its user-friendliness by allowing users to add data elements pertinent to their health center. Additionally, much of the data must be manually input into the tool, so future considerations should be made to reduce the time-intensive nature of this data entry. All in all, the Revenue Loss Tool was created to provide an estimate of unrealized revenue at health centers due to forgoing third-party reimbursement to maintain patient privacy, and feedback from health centers indicate that the tool achieves this goal.
Appendix A: Revenue Loss Tool Instructions

The Revenue Loss Tool Instructions may also be located online at https://www.confidentialandcovered.com/file/ConfidentialandCovered_RevenueLossTool_Instructions.pdf

Introduction to the Revenue Loss Tool

Background

In August 2014, the Office of Population Affairs (OPA) allocated Title X funds to create the Affordable Care Act Collaborative (Grant Number 1 FPRPA006059). The three primary grantees, namely the Guttmacher Institute, NFPRHA, and Altarum Institute have studied the impact of health system changes resulting from the ACA on Title X centers. The Collaborative has analyzed whether or not service sites continue to see a disproportionate number of uninsured patients, and if so, why. In addition, the Collaborative assessed the long-term factors affecting the sustainability of Title X centers, including costs, billing, and reimbursements. The Collaborative partners also conducted qualitative and quantitative analyses of how state Medicaid and commercial insurance reimbursement policies impact Title X centers, particularly around the issues of billing and confidentiality.

NFPRHA’s Confidential & Covered project sought to respond to the challenge of confidentiality in the context of a changing health care delivery environment. Confidential & Covered created a tool for Title X grantees and subrecipients to estimate unrealized revenue at service sites due to forgoing third-party reimbursement to maintain patient privacy. The tool was designed to be used internally at health centers, though health centers with multiple sites may choose to use the tool across sites or at just one site.

In order to use the tool most effectively, a site must have the ability to: 1) identify visits by patients requesting confidential billing and 2) access the relevant claims information for those visits.

Suggested screening questions for identifying patients with concerns about privacy during the billing process

It is important to establish consistent screening processes that specifically ask about patient insurance status.

Below are screening questions to ask patients at every visit in order to identify visits by insured patients who request that claims not be submitted to insurers due to confidentiality concerns. How and when in the patient flow these screening questions are implemented will vary by site, but potential options include:

- Verbally by a front desk staff during check-in.
- On existing intake forms completed by patients.
### Report Data Elements

#### Rationale

The Revenue Loss Tool is populated with existing data from health center records. The data elements, listed below, have been selected to include visit-level information about patients and to demonstrate how much revenue the health center could potentially recoup if the claims were submitted to a third-party payer.

Elements described as optional reflect data points that could be considered for collection but are not necessary for calculating revenue loss.

A basic overview of the workbook:

Tab #1: This worksheet contains summary data points for the report period.

Tab #2: This worksheet contains visit-level data for each visit in which patient indicates a need for payment privacy.

Tab #3: This tab contains summary calculations based on the data elements entered into Tab #1 and Tab #2.

#### Limitations

The tool is designed to be used internally at service sites to estimate revenue loss and requires thorough screening and documentation of a patient’s need for payment privacy versus confidential services. Revenue loss is difficult to compare across sites due to variations in fee schedules, insurance reimbursement rates, and other funding sources. Due to these variations, there are aspects of the spreadsheet or data elements that may need to be adapted. As a result, there is not necessarily comparable data on revenue loss across health centers.

This is intended to provide a snapshot of revenue loss, with the limitation being that it would not necessarily capture revenue collected toward these services from a patient in the future. The project leaves it to administrators at individual health centers to determine the impact of unrealized revenue due to the provision of payment that does not breach privacy on their organization.
Data Elements

Below are brief descriptions of the tabs and data field contained in the workbook. It is intended to provide greater explanation of each data field and serve as a reference source as data is entered.

Tab 1: Overview

This worksheet contains summary data points for the report period.

1. **Report Name:** The name the organization will give each report.

2. **Report Period:** The time frame during which the data elements were collected. If using a sample set of data from less than a year, a minimum of three months of data is recommended.

3. **Total Number of Visits:** Total number of visits at the service site(s) that included a Title X-funded service. The purpose is to have a measure of overall family planning visit volume at the health center, regardless of payer source, during the period of data collection.

4. **Total Number of Visits Made by Insured Patients Who Request Not to Use Insurance Due to Concerns about Privacy during the Payment Process:** Of the total number of family planning visits, number of visits by patients with insurance who request not to use it due to concerns about privacy during the payment process. These are the subset of visits for which revenue loss will be calculated.

   - **Total Number of Visits by Insured Patients that Requested Payment Privacy Whose Services You Were Able to Bill Because of Agreements with Insurers (Optional):** The number of visits by patients with concerns around payment privacy for which you were able to bill insurance due to agreements with insurers. Certain states have confidentiality protections at the state level. This could include agreements that suppress the EOB, allow you to use the health center address for all communications, or other such arrangements.

5. **Sliding Fee Scale-Payment Categories:** A label for each pay category on a health center’s sliding fee scale should be entered into the template on Tab #1 in cells A10 through A19, replacing the sample labels of Category A through Category J. There are spaces for up to 10 categories, and the sample labels for unused categories can be deleted. The updated labels for your site can then be selected on Tab #2 in column K from the dropdown to ensure consistency.

Tab 2: Visit-Level Data

This worksheet contains visit-level data for each visit in which the patient expresses concern privacy during the billing process.

6. **Unique Identifier for Each Visit:** It is important to have a unique identifier assigned to each visit in the worksheet that also corresponds to the health record for that visit. This allows sites to confirm that entries are not duplicative and makes it easy to look up or confirm details about the visit as needed. Suggestions include:

   - **Claim ID (Optional):** Unique claim ID for each visit. The purpose is to have a reference number for each visit, making it easy to look up or confirm details on the claim as needed. Not all systems generate a claim ID if services are not submitted to insurance, therefore this
field is optional.

- **Patient ID (Optional):** Unique patient ID for each visit. If your system does not generate a claim ID, a patient ID and service month could be used for looking up or confirming details about the visit as needed.

- **Assigned ID (Optional):** Unique visit ID. If a site does not want the visit-level information to be linked to health records, a unique number could be created solely for the purpose of the tool and assigned to each visit listed. For example, the visits could be numbered sequentially. If a site plans to share the data with an external entity, this could be used to protect the data while still providing a unique identifier to each visit.

7. **Service Month:** The month during which the visit occurred. Use the dropdown menu to select from January - December.

8. **Type of Insurance:** Type of insurance the patient had but requested not to use. The purpose is for identifying if clients express concern more frequently about payment privacy with a particular category of payer. This information could be used to inform conversations with health plans or to train staff if needed. Use the dropdown menu to select from:
   - Commercial
   - Medicaid Fee for Service
   - Medicaid Managed Care
   - Other: specify

9. **All Charges (Dollars):** The total value of the services provided (full-fee charges) in dollars during the visit.

10. **All Charges Submitted to Insurance (Dollars):** The total value of services provided, based on full-fee charges submitted by the provider, that are reimbursable by insurance but not submitted to insurance due to confidentiality concerns. If your health center does not submit any claims whatsoever to a patient’s third-party payer, regardless of confidentiality needs, those charges should not be included in this column. Examples of services that may not be included, depending on the health center setting and arrangement with payers, are contraceptives dispensed on site, laboratory services run in-house, etc.

11. **Contracted Rate for Services (Dollars):** The total value of services provided, based on contracted reimbursement rates with the insurer, that are reimbursable by insurance but not submitted to insurance due to confidentiality concerns. This value should reflect the contracted dollar amount your health center would receive from the third-party payer if the claim was submitted for Column H.

12. **Sliding Fee Scale:** This is to indicate if the patient received a discount based on the Title X sliding fee scale. It assumes that all patients are assessed for eligibility for the sliding fee scale. Use the dropdown menu to select from:
   - Yes
   - No

13. **Sliding Fee Plan:** Select the pay category the patient was placed in based on the now pre-populated health center’s sliding fee scale. In order to utilize this column, a label for each pay category on a site’s sliding fee scale must first be entered into the template on Tab #1 in cells A10 through A19, replacing the sample labels of Category A through Category J. There are spaces for
up to 10 categories, and the sample labels for unused categories can be deleted. The updated labels for your site can then be selected on Tab #2 in column K from the dropdown to ensure consistency.

14. **Amount Paid (Dollars):** Amount (in dollars) collected from a patient to apply toward the cost of their services. This includes payments based on the sliding fee scale or patient donations. It is recommended to include amount collected on date of service only, not potential for future payments toward the service based on a payment plan.

15. **Calculated Lost Revenue Based on Charges (Dollars):** The total potential revenue a health center loses for the visit in dollars, based on full-fee charges. This is automatically calculated by subtracting the dollar amount in “Amount Paid” (Column L) from “All Charges Submitted to Insurance” (Column H) for each visit.

16. **Calculated Lost Revenue Based on Contracted Rate (Dollars):** The total potential revenue a health center loses for the visit in dollars, based on contracted rate. This is automatically calculated by subtracting the dollar amount in “Amount Paid” (Column L) from “Contracted Rate for Services” (Column I) for each visit.

17. **CPT/HCPCS Code:** The CPT/HCPCS codes for each service utilized during the visit. Including the procedure codes along with the actual charge per procedure or drug provides further clarification and detail to the overall visit charge.
   - **Charge column:** Charges as related to CPT/HCPCS column.
   - **Is service billable to insurance:** For each CPT/HCPCS code, indicate if the service utilized is billable to the patient’s insurance, regardless of confidentiality concerns. This will help clarify revenue loss details for visits. Use the dropdown menu to select from:
     - Yes
     - No
   1. **If not billable to insurance, reason:** For services that could not be billed to patient’s insurance, regardless of confidentiality needs, the purpose is to provide further detail. Use the dropdown menu to select from:
      - Not contracted with insurer
      - Outside of currently contracted benefits
      - Other

18. **ICD-10 Diagnosis Code:** ICD-10 codes for each visit. ICD-10 codes must be entered in the appropriate structure in accordance with the diagram below.
The purpose of these data fields is to further understand patterns in visit types for which patients request not to use their insurance due to concerns about payment privacy. This information could also be used in negotiation with plans.

- **ICD-10 Diagnosis Code Description:** The description for the listed ICD-10 code.

19. **Patient Age (Optional):** Age of patient.

20. **Patient Sex (Optional):** Sex of patient. Use the dropdown menu to select from:
- Female
- Male
- MTF (transitioning Male to Female)
- FTM (transitioning Female to Male)

**Tab 3: Summary Calculations**

This tab contains summary calculations based on the data elements entered into Tab #1 and Tab #2. The fields are automatically populated based on the information in Tabs #1 and #2 and do not require any user input. It is formatted to be a printable document summarizing some key calculations.

This spreadsheet has been protected to prevent unintentional edits to the formulas. If adaptations need to be made for your organization, unprotect the sheet or contact NFPRHA.

21. **Report Name:** Name of the report (Reference: Tab #1, Cell D1)

22. **Report Period:** The time frame during which the data elements were collected. (Reference: Tab #1, Cell D2)

23. **Total Number of Visits in Which the Patient Did Not Use Insurance Due to Concerns about Payment Privacy:** The total number of visits during the report period by insured patients who requested services not be billed due to patient privacy concerns. (Reference: Tab #1, Cell G5)

24. **Percentage of Total Visits That Were Not Billed to Insurance because of Patient Concerns about Payment Privacy:** Of the total number of visits during the report period, the percentage that were visits in which patients chose not to use their insurance due to payment privacy concerns. (Reference: Tab #1, Cell G5/Cell G4)

25. **Total Revenue Loss (Based on Charges):** The total dollar amount of unrealized revenue during the report period from forgoing third-party reimbursement due to patient concerns about payment privacy, based on full-fee charges. (Reference: Tab #2, Sum of Column M)

26. **Total Revenue Loss (Based on Contracted Rate):** The total dollar amount of unrealized revenue during the period from forgoing third-party reimbursement due to patient concerns about payment privacy, based on contracted rate. (Reference: Tab #2, Sum of Column N)

27. **Age Group:** Total number of visits in which patients chose not to use their insurance due to payment privacy concerns, by patient age.
- **Minors:** Total number of patients under the age of 18. (Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column AE < 18)
- **Young Adult:** Total number of patients who are age 18 through 26. (Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column AE >= 18 and <=26)
- Adult: Total number of patients who are over the age of 26. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column AE >26)*

28. **Patient Sex:** Total number of visits in which patients chose not to use their insurance due to payment privacy concerns, by patient’s sex.

  - Female: Total number of female patients. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column AF = Female)*
  
  - Male: Total number of male patients. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column AF = Male)*
  
  - MTF: Total number of transitioning male to female patients. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column AF = MTF)*
  
  - FTM: Total number of transitioning female to male patients. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column AF = FTM)*

29. **Insurance Type:** Total number of visits in which patients chose not to use their insurance due to payment privacy concerns, by patient’s type of insurance selected from the dropdown options.

  - Commercial: Total number of visits by patients with commercial insurance. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column E = Commercial)*
  
  - Medicaid Fee for Service: Total number of visits by patients with Medicaid Fee for Service. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column E = Medicaid Fee for Service)*
  
  - Medicaid Managed Care: Total number of visits by patients with Medicaid Managed Care. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column E = Medicaid Managed Care)*
  
  - Other: Total number of visits by patients with other insurance type. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column E = Other)*
30. **Categories of ICD-10 codes**: Count of primary and secondary ICD-10 codes associated with visits on Tab #2. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells in column AA and column AC)* Note: The total of all categories will be more than the total visits entered into the tool, as many visits will have more than one diagnosis code entered into the calculation. Codes have been grouped into general categories as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of ICD-10 Codes</th>
<th>Codes Included in the Calculation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STD Testing (Including HIV)</td>
<td>Standard Structure: Z11.3; Z11.4; Z11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-standard Structure: Z113; Z114; Z118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy Test</td>
<td>Standard Structure: Z32.00; Z32.01; Z32.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-standard Structure: Z3200; Z3201; Z3202</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of ICD-10 Codes (CONTINUED)</th>
<th>Codes Included in the Calculation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contraceptive Initiation</td>
<td>Standard Structure: Z30.011; Z30.012; Z30.013; Z30.014; Z30.018; Z30.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-standard Structure: Z30011; Z30012; Z30013; Z30014; Z30018; Z30019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraceptive Management &amp; Surveillance</td>
<td>Standard Structure: Z30.40; Z30.41; Z30.42; Z30.430; Z30.431; Z30.432; Z30.433; Z30.49; Z30.8; Z30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-standard Structure: Z3040; Z3041; Z3042; Z30430; Z30431; Z30432; Z30433; Z3049; Z308; Z309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraceptive Counseling</td>
<td>Standard Structure: Z30.02; Z30.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-standard Structure: Z3002; Z3009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine Exams</td>
<td>Standard Structure: Z01.411; Z01.419; Z00.00; Z00.01; Z00.121; Z00.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-standard Structure: Z01411; Z01419; Z0000; Z0001; Z00121; Z00129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other reasons for visit not listed above</td>
<td>Includes all entries not listed in the above lists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

31. **Sliding Fee Scale Payment Categories**: Total number of visits in which patients chose not to use their insurance due to payment privacy concerns, by payment category on the sliding fee scale. A site’s pay categories can be entered into the template on Tab #1 in cells A10 through A19. *(See instructions on page 4, #5)*

- [Sample Category]: Total number of visits by patients who were in [Sample Category] on the sliding fee scale. *(Reference: Tab #2, count of cells from column K = [Sample Category]*)
### Data Dictionary

#### Tab 1: Data Element

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Cell(s)</th>
<th>Data Element Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Report Name</strong></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>Name of report, determined by the organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Report Period</strong></td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>Time frame during which data elements were collected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of visits made by patients</strong></td>
<td>G4</td>
<td>Total number of visits at the service site(s) that included a Title X service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of visits made by insured patients who request not to use insurance due to patient concerns about payment privacy</strong></td>
<td>G5</td>
<td>Of the family planning visits in G4, number of visits by patients with insurance who request not to use it due to need for payment privacy. (Visit-level details to be provided on Tab #2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of visits made by insured patients that requested payment privacy whose services you were able to bill because of agreements with insurers</strong></td>
<td>G6</td>
<td>Of confidential visits in G5, number of visits by patients for which you were able to bill insurance due to agreements with insurers. (Optional)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sliding Fee Scale-Payment Categories**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Data Element Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A label for each pay category on a site's sliding fee scale.</td>
<td>A10 through A19</td>
<td>A label for each pay category on a site's sliding fee scale.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Tab 2: Data Elements for visits in G5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Data Element Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Claim ID</strong></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Unique claim ID for each visit. (Optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient ID</strong></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Unique patient ID for each visit. (Optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assigned ID</strong></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Unique visit ID (Optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Service month</strong></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>The month during which the visit occurred.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Tab 2: Data Elements for visits in G5 (CONTINUED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Element</th>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Data Element Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Insurance</strong></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Type of insurance the patient had but requested not to use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Insurance Type</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>If type of insurance in Column E is ‘Other, specify’, a description of other insurance type.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Charges (Dollars)</strong></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>The total value of the services provided (full-fee charges) in dollars during the visit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Charges Submitted to Insurance (Dollars)</strong></td>
<td>H</td>
<td>The total value of services provided, based on full-fee charges submitted by the provider, that are reimbursable by insurance but not submitted to insurance due to patient concerns around payment privacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contracted Rate for Services (Dollars)</strong></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>The total value of services provided, based on contracted reimbursement rates with the insurer, that are reimbursable by insurance but not submitted to insurance due to patient concerns around payment privacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sliding Fee Scale</strong></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>Indicates if the patient received a discount based on the Title X sliding fee scale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sliding Fee Plan</strong></td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Pay category the patient was placed in based on the pre-populated health center’s sliding fee scale.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Data Element Definition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount Paid (Dollars) L Amount (in dollars) collected from a patient to apply toward the cost of their services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated Lost Revenue Based on Charges (Dollars) M The total potential revenue a health center loses for the visit in dollars, based on full-fee charges.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated Lost Revenue Based on Contracted Rate (Dollars) N The total potential revenue a health center loses for the visit in dollars, based on contracted rate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 2: Data Elements for visits in G5 (CONTINUED) Column Data Element Definition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT/HCPCS Code (1) O (1) Service utilized CPT/HCPCS code.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT/HCPCS Charge (1) P (1) Charges as related to CPT/HCPCS code.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billable to Insurance (1) Q (1) Indicates if the service utilized is billable to the patient’s insurance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason Not Billable to Insurance (1) R (1) Provides a reason service could not be billed to patient’s insurance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT/HCPCS Code (2) S (2) Service utilized CPT/HCPCS code.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT/HCPCS Charge (2) T (2) Charges as related to CPT/HCPCS code.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billable to Insurance (2) U (2) Indicates if the service utilized is billable to the patient’s insurance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason not billable to insurance (2) V (2) Provides a reason service could not be billed to patient’s insurance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT/HCPCS Charge (3) X (3) Charges as related to CPT/HCPCS code.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billable to Insurance (3) Y (3) Indicates if the service utilized is billable to the patient’s insurance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tab 2: Data Elements for visits in G5 (CONTINUED) Column Data Element Definition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10 Diagnosis Code (1) AA (1) ICD-10 code for visit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10 Diagnosis Code (1): Description AB (1) ICD-10 code description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10 Diagnosis Code (2) AC (2) ICD-10 code for visit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10 Diagnosis Code (2): Description AD (2) ICD-10 code description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Age AE Age of patient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Sex AF Sex of Patient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Revenue Loss Tool

The Revenue Loss Tool Excel workbook can be located at

https://www.confidentialandcovered.com/file/ConfidentialandCovered_RevenueLossTool_Workbook.xlsx
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