

1 Emily Chiang, WSBA No. 50517
2 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
3 OF WASHINGTON FOUNDATION
4 901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 630
5 Seattle, WA 98164
6 Phone: 206-624-2184
7 Email: echiang@aclu-wa.org

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**
10 **AT YAKIMA**

11 STATE OF WASHINGTON,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,

15 Defendants.

No. 1:19-cv-03040-SAB

DECLARATION OF
SARAH PRAGER, M.D., M.A.S.,
IN SUPPORT OF NATIONAL
FAMILY PLANNING &
REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
ASSOCIATION'S
MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION

16 NATIONAL FAMILY PLANNING &
17 REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
18 ASSOCIATION, et al.,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,

22 Defendants.

1 Sarah Prager, M.D., M.A.S., states as follows:

2 1. I am a Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology at the University of
3 Washington, where I serve as the Director of the Family Planning Division and
4 Family Planning Fellowship. I also serve as the Title X Director of the Feminist
5 Women’s Health Center (doing business as Cedar River Clinics) (“FWHC”).
6 FWHC has participated in Title X since 2017. FWHC decided to become a Title X
7 provider out of a deep commitment to reaching as many patients as possible with
8 full, quality family planning care. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs’
9 motion for a preliminary injunction.
10

11 2. I earned a B.A. *summa cum laude* from Princeton University. I then
12 obtained my M.D. degree from the University of Texas Southwestern Medical
13 School in 2000, and I completed an internship and residency at the University of
14 Vermont. Thereafter, I earned a master’s degree from the University of California
15 at San Francisco, where I also completed a fellowship in family planning. I have
16 been board certified in Obstetrics & Gynecology since 2005. My curriculum vitae,
17 which sets out my professional qualifications and experiences in greater detail, is
18 attached as Exhibit A.
19

20 3. Plaintiff Dr. Deborah Oyer is my colleague and serves as Medical
21 Director of FWHC’s Cedar River Clinics. She has provided family planning and
22
23

1 other reproductive health care in Washington for more than 25 years and is a
2 graduate of Harvard Medical School.

3 4. In my capacity as Title X Director, and in partnership with Dr. Oyer,
4 we oversee the provision of medical services to FWHC's Title X patients,
5 including pregnancy counseling and contraceptive care. Dr. Oyer and I ensure that
6 FWHC's Title X program meets the directives set forth in "Providing Quality
7 Family Planning Services" ("QFP"), the national clinical standards of care for
8 family planning developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
9 ("CDC") and the HHS Office of Population Affairs ("OPA"). A central part of our
10 mission is to give Title X patients the same, high-quality care as better-resourced
11 patients.
12

13 5. I personally treat approximately 425 Title X patients per year at
14 FWHC.
15

16 6. Dr. Oyer and I also provide abortions at Cedar River Clinics outside
17 the Title X program and unsupported by Title X funds.

18 7. I provide the full spectrum of general obstetrics and gynecologic care,
19 with a special clinical focus on family planning. I strive to help women navigate
20 their needs around contraception, abortion, and miscarriage. I also conduct
21 research on miscarriage management, contraceptive use, and pregnancy
22 termination, including second trimester surgical abortion. I have been published in
23

1 numerous medical journals on these topics and others. In my various professional
2 roles, I interact with other Title X health care providers and family planning
3 researchers around the country. I am typical of the doctors who serve as Title X
4 medical directors across the country. Many of us are practitioners and academics
5 who do Title X work part-time to ensure the quality of the Title X program.

6
7 8. I am familiar with the key provisions of the new Title X regulations
8 (“New Rule”). If the New Rule were to take effect, as I explain below, I would no
9 longer be able to serve as Title X Medical Director or to provide Title X care, and
10 FWHC would be forced to exit the program. Having to leave Title X would
11 significantly harm FWHC’s ability to provide high quality, affordable family
12 planning care to our low-income patients. In my expert opinion, this would create
13 new health risks and harms for our patient population.

14 **The New Title X Regulations**

15
16 9. The New Rule would cause immediate harm to patients, physicians,
17 and Title X providers in the form of coercive pregnancy counseling. The New
18 Rule requires withholding information from pregnant patients by preventing
19 referral for all available options for their pregnancy, while at the same time,
20 forcing certain information on patients regardless of their wishes.

21
22 10. The New Rule would also cause cascading other harms, as it disrupts
23 the provision of care, including contraceptive care, in the Title X network.

1 **The New Rule’s Coercive Pregnancy Counseling Requirement Would Harm**
2 **Patients and Providers**

3 11. Patients that come to FWHC for pregnancy testing have a range of
4 experiences: some hope that they are pregnant; others fear that they are; and some
5 do not know exactly how the news would make them feel. As a result, when a
6 pregnancy test comes back positive, a patient’s emotions are often very intense.

7 12. If the patient has been trying to conceive, she may be elated and will
8 want to talk through and obtain referrals for prenatal care. In that case, consistent
9 with protocols, our pregnancy counseling focuses on appropriate next prenatal
10 steps.

11 13. If a patient’s pregnancy is unplanned, she is often surprised and
12 overwhelmed. Sometimes she is very distressed. Such patients often do not know
13 what they will do, and they look to us to discuss their options.

14 14. As Title X Director, I ensure that FWHC non-physician clinicians—
15 who are highly trained and best positioned to provide pregnancy counseling—
16 create an open dialogue with patients. It is critical that clinicians form a
17 relationship with patients based on sensitivity, candor, and, above all, trust.
18

19 20 15. FWHC aims to give patients facing unplanned pregnancies space to
21 discuss their options and to weigh their concerns. This is one of the most sensitive
22 areas of medical practice, so providers must be especially attentive to the feelings
23 and needs of their individual patients.

1 16. Nondirective pregnancy counseling is consistent with the relevant
2 standards of care and medical ethics.

3 17. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (“ACOG”)
4 provides that, following a pregnancy diagnosis, “[t]he patient should be fully
5 informed in a balanced manner about all options, including raising the child
6 herself, placing the child for adoption and abortion.”ⁱ

7
8 18. The American Medical Association (“AMA”) Code of Medical Ethics
9 similarly advises providers that “withholding information without the patient’s
10 knowledge or consent is ethically unacceptable.”ⁱⁱ

11 19. And the American Academy of Pediatrics (“AAP”) directs that
12 “[w]hen consulted by a pregnant adolescent, pediatricians should be able to make a
13 timely diagnosis and to help the adolescent understand her options and act on her
14 decision to continue or terminate her pregnancy.”ⁱⁱⁱ

15
16 20. The QFP standards also state that pregnancy testing should be
17 “followed by a discussion of options,” consistent with the recommendations of
18 professional medical associations, such as ACOG and the AAP.^{iv} The QFP is clear
19 that providers must be “respectful of, and responsive to, individual client
20 preferences, needs, and values,” ensuring that “client values guide all clinical
21 decisions.”^v

1 21. However, in contrast to the previously longstanding Title X
2 regulations, the New Rule does not require clinicians to discuss all options with
3 women seeking such counseling. The New Rule also requires a Title X provider to
4 have a graduate level degree to be able to conduct nondirective pregnancy
5 counseling. These are unnecessary and harmful limitations.
6

7 *The New Rule Curtails Necessary Referrals & Misleads Patients*

8 22. When patients seek services beyond the scope of clinicians’ practice,
9 ACOG directs that clinicians “fulfill their obligations to patients through referral to
10 other professionals who have the appropriate skills and expertise to address the
11 situation.”^{vi}

12 23. The AMA similarly instructs that in cases where the patient seeks
13 treatment beyond their practice, physicians should “consult or refer the patient to
14 ... health care professionals who have appropriate knowledge and skills and are
15 licensed to provide the services needed.”^{vii}

16 24. The QFP directs that Title X providers supply “appropriate referrals”
17 in the course of nondirective, patient-driven pregnancy counseling.^{viii}

18 25. Under the New Rule, however, even when a patient requests referral
19 for abortion care, providers are prohibited from providing clear information about
20 how to get that care. Title X clinicians are permitted only to furnish a list of
21 “licensed, qualified, comprehensive primary health care providers (including
22
23

1 providers of prenatal care), some, but not the majority, of which also provide
2 abortion as part of their comprehensive health care services,” but the provider may
3 not “identify which providers on the list perform abortion.” 84 Fed. Reg. 7714,
4 7789.

5
6 26. This bar on referral for abortion—even when a patient requests such
7 information—would confuse patients. Patients may reasonably but incorrectly
8 assume that the list includes only abortion providers—that is, after all, the
9 information they sought. In direct violation of the standards of care and medical
10 ethics, patients would be left to discover on their own where and how abortion care
11 is available.

12
13 27. As both an academic and a doctor, I am committed to making
14 available the full array of ob/gyn care to patients regardless of income and ensuring
15 that they have access to the most complete information about treatment and
16 options. It would be completely incongruous not to provide referral information
17 about abortion to the patients within Title X that seek that care. Complying with
18 the new regulations would force me to shame patients about abortion and steer
19 them to certain types of care, which I simply cannot do.

20
21 28. In many areas there may well be *no* abortion providers who satisfy the
22 rules’ criteria to appear on the list of follow-up providers. This is because the New
23 Rule permits listing only “comprehensive primary health care providers ... which

1 also provide abortion as part of their comprehensive health care services.” In
2 reality, in many parts of the country, including where I practice, abortion care is
3 not generally available in such settings and is limited to more specialized health
4 centers and clinics. This means that the New Rule would require especially
5 misleading and unethical care, because Title X providers would, in some cases, be
6 forced not only to supply referrals to prenatal care, but also could offer only a
7 written list that excludes any option, even a hidden one, for abortion services or
8 abortion information in response to patients’ explicit requests for help in finding
9 abortion care. This would misdirect and shame patients, pushing them toward
10 prenatal care they do not want.

12 29. Preventing providers from clearly communicating where and how
13 patients can access abortion care—even when patients have expressed that they
14 want to access abortion—creates significant obstacles for patients, who are left to
15 discover that information on their own. In contravention of standards of care and
16 medical ethics, the New Rule places enormous burdens on patients.

18 30. These burdens would likely delay access to abortion care. While
19 abortion in the U.S. is very safe, every week of delay increases the risks associated
20 with the procedure.^{ix}

22 31. Because many Title X patients have linguistic, educational,
23 informational, and financial barriers to accessing healthcare, the impediments

1 introduced by the New Rule may prevent such patients from accessing abortion
2 altogether.

3 *The New Rule Directs Providers to Coerce Patients*

4 32. ACOG directs that “[i]t is never acceptable for [providers] to attempt
5 to influence patients toward a clinical decision using coercion.”^x Similarly, the
6 AMA Code of Medical Ethics provides that patients must “make an independent,
7 voluntary decision” about care.^{xi} Providing medical information to patients against
8 their articulated interests or their will is unethical and dangerous.
9

10 33. But the New Rule forces providers to refer all pregnant patients for
11 prenatal care or related social services, regardless of patients’ wishes—and even
12 when patients have already decided to have an abortion.
13

14 34. Having this referral forced on them may be severely upsetting to
15 patients who are considering abortion. The prenatal referral (and refusal to provide
16 an abortion referral) may also cause patients to mistakenly conclude that they must
17 discount abortion as an option for medical reasons.

18 35. I cannot imagine directing a patient to a prenatal appointment and
19 withholding information about care that I provide when they have expressed
20 interest in possibly obtaining an abortion. If a patient asks me if I can provide
21 them with abortion care, the New Rule prevents me from answering or requires me
22 to lie.
23

1 36. As an academic, researcher, and clinical ob/gyn who provides
2 abortion care outside the Title X project, it would be antithetical to my medical
3 practice, contrary to my work, and damaging to my reputation to provide care in
4 this manner or to allow those I supervise to provide pregnancy counseling that
5 misleadingly omits information about abortion care. I cannot—in one part of my
6 practice, with patients who have the means to pay—provide the full scope of care
7 and—in another part of my practice, with patients of limited means—withhold
8 information and coerce patients to receive certain types of care. This would be
9 seriously harmful to my practice and reputation as both a physician and an
10 academic.
11

12 *The New Rule Unreasonably Limits Provision of Care*

13 37. Clinicians and counselors typically conduct pregnancy counseling at
14 FWHC and other Title X sites. These providers possess relevant training and
15 expertise.
16

17 38. But the New Rule states that only physicians and advanced practice
18 clinicians with a graduate level degree may conduct nondirective pregnancy
19 counseling.
20

21 39. Limiting pregnancy counseling to physicians and advanced practice
22 clinicians would constrain FWHC's ability to treat pregnant patients.
23

1 *The New Rule Would Interrupt Patients' Access to Contraception*

2 40. The New Rule also imposes stringent requirements that force Title X
3 projects to completely separate from any abortion-related activity or care. Aside
4 from their practical difficulty or impossibility, these separation requirements would
5 introduce new barriers and health complications for women who seek
6 contraception.
7

8 41. In overseeing counseling for patients who seek abortion care outside
9 of the FWHC Title X project, I ensure that clinicians and counselors discuss
10 contraceptive methods with these patients to avoid future unplanned pregnancies.
11

12 42. Discussing contraceptive care and delivering a chosen contraceptive
13 method at the time of an unplanned pregnancy or an abortion is, consistent with
14 clinical recommendations by the Society of Family Planning, “an optimal time to
15 initiate use of effective contraceptives” because it removes logistical hurdles,
16 including travel, time, and cost.^{xii} It also provides a unique opportunity to talk with
17 patients about pregnancy prevention when they may be particularly focused and
18 motivated to “avoid a subsequent pregnancy and to leave the abortion appointment
19 with a contraceptive method.”^{xiii} Especially relevant for the Title X population,
20 the Society of Family Planning makes clear that “[f]or women who do not
21 regularly seek or have access to gynecologic or preventive health services, the
22
23

1 abortion visit may be one of their only interactions with the health care system and
2 an important opportunity to discuss contraception.”^{xiv}

3 43. The new separation requirements would disrupt continuity of care for
4 patients as they would have to make multiple appointments to access contraceptive
5 counseling and care that could be accomplished on a single date, in a single
6 location.
7

8 44. Barriers to access for low-income patients—such as requiring patients
9 to make multiple appointments and trips, to take additional time off work, and
10 perhaps to find childcare on multiple occasions—have been shown to decrease
11 contraceptive use, and increase instances of unplanned pregnancy, abortion rates,
12 and harmful outcomes.^{xv}

13 45. Moreover, if patients choose an intrauterine device (“IUD”)—one of
14 the most effective forms of contraception—a particularly safe and easy time to
15 insert the device is immediately after a surgical abortion because the cervix is
16 already dilated.^{xvi} However, under the new separation requirements, the patient
17 would have to travel to a separate site and see a different team of clinicians for
18 IUD insertion. Therefore, the New Rule’s complete separation requirement creates
19 a new barrier to patients electing an IUD.
20
21
22
23

1 *The New Rule Would Force Title X Providers like Me out of the Program*

2 46. The New Rule would harm not only high-need Title X patients, who
3 would receive substandard and misleading care under its dictates, but also their
4 physicians: The New Rule forces providers to adopt a highly unprofessional and
5 unethical approach to patient care.

6 47. Each clinician and physician who currently serves Title X patients
7 would be forced to choose between, on the one hand, continuing to serve Title X
8 patients but with mandated substandard and unethical care; or, on the other,
9 ceasing to offer family planning care to high-risk, high-need patients.

10 48. For me, because of the New Rule, I would be forced to leave the
11 program. As I stated above, I cannot provide the full spectrum of care to a subset
12 of my patients (i.e., those who can afford comprehensive family planning care
13 without public support), while offering substandard care to the most vulnerable
14 patients by shaming them about abortion and coercing them into receiving prenatal
15 care. It is inconceivable to me to provide differing standards of care depending on
16 a patient's means, especially in a manner that is so flagrantly inconsistent with my
17 approach to medical practice and my academic research. As a result, I could no
18 longer be a Title X provider or serve as FWHC's Title X Medical Director.
19
20
21
22
23

1 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this
2 declaration was executed on March 20, 2019 in Seattle, Washington.

3
4 
5 Sarah Prager, M.D., M.A.S.
6

7
8 ⁱ American College of Obstetricians & Gynecologists (“ACOG”), *Guidelines for Women's Health Care: A Resource Manual* 719-20 (4th ed. 2014).

9 ⁱⁱ American Medical Association (“AMA”) Code of Medical Ethics § 2.1.3.

10 ⁱⁱⁱ American Academy of Pediatrics (“AAP”), Policy Statement: Counseling the Adolescent About Pregnancy Options, *Pediatrics* (Vol. 101, Issue 5, May 1998) at 938; reaffirmed Jan. 2006.

11 ^{iv} *Providing Quality Family Planning Services: Recommendations of CDC and the U.S. Office of Population Affairs* (“QFP”), 63 Recommendations & Reports 1, 14 (2014), <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr6304.pdf>.

12 ^v *Id.* at 4.

13 ^{vi} ACOG, *Guidelines for Women's Health Care: A Resource Manual* at 100-01.

14 ^{vii} AMA Code of Medical Ethics § 1.2.3.

15 ^{viii} QFP at 14.

16 ^{ix} U.D. Upadhyay, et al. *Incidence of Emergency Department Visits and Complications After Abortion*, 125 *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 175-83 (2015).

17 ^x ACOG, *Committee Opinion No. 664: Refusal of Medically Recommended Treatment During Pregnancy*, 127 *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 175-82 (2016).

18 ^{xi} AMA Code of Medical Ethics, § 2.1.1(a).

19 ^{xii} Andrea Hsu Roe & Deborah Bartz, *Society of Family Planning Clinical Recommendations: Contraception after Surgical Abortion*, 99 *Contraception* 2, 2 (2019) (citations omitted); *cf.* QFP at 14 (explaining that a “negative pregnancy test” where the pregnancy was unplanned “also provides an opportunity to discuss the value of making a reproductive life plan” and providing that “[i]deally, these services will be offered in the same visit as the pregnancy test because clients might not return at a later time for contraceptive services”).

20 ^{xiii} Roe & Bartz, *Society of Family Planning Clinical* at 2.

21 ^{xiv} *Id.*
22
23

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

^{xv} See, e.g., Gina M. Secura et al, The Contraceptive CHOICE Project, 203 *Am. J. of Obstetrics & Gynecology* e1 (2010) (reducing access and information barriers increases long-acting reversible contraception usage and decreases unintended pregnancies); M. Antonia Biggs et al, *Did Increasing Use of Highly Effective Contraception Contributing to Declining Abortions in Iowa?* 91 *Contraception* 167 (2015) (abortion rate decline); Paul D. Blumenthal et al, *Strategies to Prevent Unintended Pregnancy*, 17 *Human Reproduction Update* 121 (2011) (unintended pregnancy increases risks of, *inter alia*, low birthweight babies, adverse behaviors, and physical violence by partners).

^{xvi} See Elizabeth Micks & Sarah Prager, *Plan A: Postabortion Contraception*, 57 *Clinical Obstetrics & Gynecology* 751 (2014).

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

1
2 I hereby declare that on this day I caused the foregoing document to be
3 electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the Court's CM/ECF System
4 which will serve a copy of this document upon all counsel of record.

5 DATED, this 22nd of March, 2019, at Seattle, Washington.

6
7
8 /s/ Emily Chiang
Emily Chiang, WSBA No. 50517