September 5, 2017

Director Mick Mulvaney
Office of Management and Budget
725 17th Street NW
Washington, DC 20503

Dear Director Mulvaney:

I am writing on behalf of the National Family Planning & Reproductive Health Association (NFPRHA), a membership organization representing providers and administrators committed to helping people get the family planning education and care they need. NFPRHA's approximately 800 organizational members operate or fund a network of more than 3,500 safety-net health centers and service sites in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. NFPRHA's members provide affordable, high-quality, voluntary, comprehensive, and culturally sensitive family planning and sexual health care services to millions of Americans who might otherwise lack access to health care. As the administration crafts its fiscal year (FY) 2019 budget request, NFPRHA respectfully requests that it recognizes the essential role of publicly funded family planning and sexual health care services.

NFPRHA believes diverse sources of public financing for family planning and sexual health services – through Title X, Medicaid, the section 330 federally qualified health center program, federal block grants including the Maternal and Child Health Block Grant and the Social Services Block Grant, as well as state funding programs – are essential to the survival of the family planning safety net upon which millions of people rely. Furthermore, NFPRHA supports efforts to ensure that family planning and sexual health continue to be delivered through a family planning safety net that is designed by communities for communities. For decades, family planning administrators, both governmental and non-governmental, have established service delivery networks that include a range of providers: state, county, and local health departments, as well as hospitals, family planning councils, Planned Parenthoods, federally qualified health centers, and other nonprofit organizations.

The nation's family planning safety net leverages multiple public funding sources to deliver care to predominantly low-income, uninsured, and underinsured individuals and to those seeking confidential care. The providers’ programs are largely anchored by Title X, the nation's only dedicated source of family planning funds, and Medicaid. These programs make up, on average, 19% and 39% of a health center’s revenue, respectively. The remaining comes from private insurance reimbursement, state and local government support, other federal programs, patient fees, and other funding, such as grants from private foundations.¹ In 2014, 20.2 million women were in need of publicly funded family planning services, and that number continues to increase annually. However, with current funding levels, the publicly funded family planning
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network only had sufficient resources from these various public and private sources to meet the
needs of 7.8 million people.ii To sustain the family planning safety net’s ability to keep its doors
open to communities in need, NFPRHA specifically requests continued investments for the
following essential federal programs:

Title X
NFPRHA appreciates that the administration recognized the value of Title X in the FY 2018
budget proposal, within which the program was level-funded, and requests a modest increase
in support for FY 2019 to meet the growing need for services.

The Title X family planning program, authored by then–Representative George H.W. Bush (R–
TX), passed the House with only 32 dissenters and cleared the Senate unanimously. After
becoming president, Bush noted:

We need to make population and family planning household words. We need to take
sensationalism out of this topic so that it can no longer be used by militants who have
no real knowledge of the voluntary nature of the [Title X national family planning] program but, rather are using it as a political stepping stone. If family planning is
anything, it is a public health matter. iii

The program remains a cornerstone of the publicly funded family planning safety net. Six in ten
women seen in a Title X setting have reported that a Title X–supported health care center was
their primary and regular source of medical care.iv Furthermore, Title X sets the standard for
high–quality family planning and sexual health service provision by focusing on outcomes and
increasing service efficiency. In April 2014, "Providing Quality Family Planning Services –
Recommendations of CDC and the U.S. Office of Population Affairs" was released. The
recommendations are a rigorous set of clinical guidelines developed for family planning
providers, including Title X–funded providers.v Such efforts reinforce the network’s providers as
centers of excellence for high–quality health care and make Title X–supported health centers
the provider of choice for people with and without insurance.

In addition to direct clinical care, Title X supports critical infrastructure needs, including new
medical equipment and staff training, that are not reimbursable under Medicaid and private
insurance. Patients at Title X health centers receive comprehensive, confidential sexual and
reproductive health care, including contraceptive services and supplies, STD testing and
treatment, preconception counseling, breast and cervical cancer screenings, and non–directive
counseling in the event of a positive pregnancy test. Title X does not pay for any abortion care.
Indeed, in 2015, Title X–funded health centers helped prevent approximately 822,300
unintended pregnancies, thereby preventing 277,800 abortions and 387,200 unplanned
births.vi Access to family planning also promotes healthy babies, by increasing the ability of
parents to plan births with spacing that is appropriate for them.vii
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In spite of the increasing need for publicly funded family planning services and the demonstrated public health and fiscal benefits of the program, Title X investments have been substantially cut in recent fiscal years. In FY 2010 the program received $317 million, but in FY 2018 it received only $286.5 million. The reduced program investment is counter to research published in the *American Journal of Public Health* stating Title X would need at least $737 million to support all women in need of publicly funded family planning services. It also unfortunately aligns with dramatic decreases in number of Title X–supported service sites – from 4,389 in 2010 to 3,898 in 2016 – and in the number of patients served – from 5.22 million in 2010 to 4.01 million in 2016. NFPRHA is deeply concerned about this diminished access to high–quality family planning and sexual health services and urges increased funding of at least $317 million in FY 2019 to reverse this devastating trend.

**Medicaid**

Medicaid is the predominant funding source (75%) for publicly funded family planning care. It is proven to save taxpayer dollars by expanding access to contraception and increasing women’s use of more effective contraceptive methods – essential factors in reducing high rates of unintended pregnancy.

In FY 2018, the president’s budget request supported efforts to alter the structure and financing of Medicaid, which NFPRHA strongly opposed because of the detrimental impact the proposed changes would have on access to care for poor and low–income individuals. Since that time, Congress has not been able to reach an agreement on such changes. Given the essential role of the program and the complexity of Medicaid policy, NFPRHA urges the administration to signal its support for Medicaid in its current form.

**Title V Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Block Grant**

In addition to the many other important activities it supports, the Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Block Grant provides funds that states can use to help women plan their families. As a result, Title V funding is an important part of the publicly funded family planning network. Unfortunately, MCH Block Grant funding has been reduced in recent years, even as the number of women and children in need of these support services increases. Increasing Title V funds is vital in sustaining the coordinated care system between family planning and maternal and child health services. NFPRHA supports $667 million for Title V MCH block grant in FY 2019, equal to the increase that the administration proposed for FY 2018. NFPRHA further opposes cuts to, or the elimination of, any other maternal–child health programs as a trade–off for this increase.

**Other Federal Block Grants**

Federal block grants, such as the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant, the Social Services Block Grant, the Community Development Block Grant, and the Community Services Block Grant provide private and public organizations with funding to engage in a number of social support, economic development, and community health projects. TANF, for example, is typically associated with cash assistance to needy families. However, one of the
TANF program’s goals is to “prevent and reduce the incidence of out-of-wedlock pregnancies.” States have latitude to operationalize that goal and some have done so by using TANF dollars to support public and private health centers that provide contraceptive services to low-income and uninsured women and men. Portions of the other aforementioned block grants are also used to foster the economic self-sufficiency of women and families by ensuring they have access to the health services that help them prevent unintended pregnancies.

NFPRHA requests the following support for essential federal block grants:
- $16.7 billion for the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families Block Grant
- $1.7 billion for the Social Services Block Grant
- $2.8 billion for the Community Development Block Grant
- $674 million for the Community Services Block Grant

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) - National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHHSTP)
Funding from NCHHSTP is utilized for HIV, other STDs, viral hepatitis, and TB prevention efforts in local health departments, nonprofit health care organizations, and state and local education agencies. In some of these health settings, funding from NCHHSTP is combined with Title X and other federal funds to create robust sexual health programs by paying for the cost of family planning nurse practitioners, testing supplies, and medications. We request that the administration recommend $1.12 billion in FY 2019 to support the work of this critical center.

The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC)
The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) provides nutritional support to low-income pregnant women and parents with children under five years of age through food packages, health education, and referrals to health and social services. The program, administered through grants distributed by state WIC agencies, complements the Title X program and the efforts of the publicly funded safety net to ensure access to health services for low-income women and families. WIC has improved birth outcomes, reduced health care costs, improved nutrition-related health outcomes, increased access to medical care, and improved preconception nutritional status. NFPRHA supports $6.37 billion for the WIC program in FY 2019.

Sexual Health Education – Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program (TPPP)
Medically accurate sexual health education and counseling are key components of publicly funded family planning services. The Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program (TPPP) provides funding to public and private organizations to engage in evidence-based initiatives that reduce teen pregnancy. These funds are often used by NFPRHA members to support their community education and outreach initiatives. NFPRHA supports $130 million for TPPP, as well as the removal of all funding for abstinence-unless-marriage programs, in FY 2019.
Exclude the Hyde Amendment and Other Harmful Policy Riders
The president should remove the Hyde Amendment and related restrictions from his FY 2019 budget request. That harmful language prevents women who qualify for Medicaid, work as federal employees, or otherwise receive health care coverage or services through the federal government from accessing abortion through those programs. Abortion is a legal medical service that must be safe and accessible to all women who seek it; women's access to abortion should not be dependent on how they access their health care or coverage.

The president’s budget should also be free of any policy riders that seek to eliminate certain family planning and sexual health providers from accessing public funds based on moral objections, including objections to a provider’s scope of service beyond family planning. Such riders are to the detriment of patients and public health.

Conclusion
The president’s FY 2019 budget request should strengthen the safety net to make certain that millions of current and future patients can obtain high-quality, affordable health care at a location of their choosing. Millions of Americans rely on publicly funded health care programs, including publicly funding family planning, to make the best decisions for themselves and their families and to lead their best possible lives.

Thank you for considering these requests.

Sincerely,

Clare Coleman
President & CEO
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